

### REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated January 11, 2006. Claims 5, 7 to 10, 12, 17, 26 and 28 are pending in the application, of which Claims 5, 17, 26 and 28 are independent. Claims 5, 17, 26 and 28 have been amended herein. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the courtesies and thoughtful treatment afforded to Applicant's representatives during the April 4, 2006 telephonic interview with the Examiner. Applicant submits that the forgoing amendments and following remarks accurately reflect the substance of the interview.

Turning to the Office Action, Claims 5, 17, 26 and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. Claims 5, 7 to 10, 12, 17, 26 and 28 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Patent No. 5,774,803 (Kariya) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,321,158 (DeLorme). The rejections are respectfully traversed.

During the interview, the Examiner tentatively indicated that the foregoing amendments would overcome the outstanding rejections. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

No other matters being raised, it is believed that the entire application is fully in condition for allowance, and such action is courteously solicited.

Applicant's undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa,  
California office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to  
our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,



---

Damond E. Vadnais  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No.: 52,310

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO  
30 Rockefeller Plaza  
New York, New York 10112-3800  
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

CA\_MAIN 112274v1